



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,432	09/02/2004	Koon Seok Lee	7950.029.00-US	1283
30827 7590 05/01/2008 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
EXAMINER				
TRUONG, LECHU				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2194				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/01/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary**Application No.**

10/506,432

Applicant(s)

LEE, KOON SEOK

Examiner

LECHI TRUONG

Art Unit

2194

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/02/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/29/2008 has been entered.

Abstract

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language of abstract should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bione (US 2003/0056225 A1) in view of Mullaly et al (US 6,570524 B1) and in view of Hallenbeck(US 2006/0004920 A1).**

4. As to claim 1, Bione teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: controlling a home network system (controlling multimedia devices, abstract, ln 1-2/ other electronically controllable home systems, para [0028], ln 8-11/ control at least one multi-media device, left col 6, ln 23-25);

inputting control data of a user (the information input by the user, para [0049], ln 10-13/ the user select the desired television channel with user interface 242, para [0051], ln 2-4/ entering information with the user, para [0054], ln 1-3);

the message (an instruction message, para [0049]/ ln 11-13/ para [0056], ln 3-6/ a digital transmission message, para [0049], ln 11-16/ channel selected message, para [0051], ln 3-7), generating a message by the input control data (para [0049], ln 11-13/ln 11-16/ para [0051], para [0054], ln 2-6/ln 3-7/ para [0056], ln 3-6);

application (the module, para [0051], ln 3-7/ para [0045], ln 5-7 / para [0024], ln 6-9/ para [0058], ln 12-15/multimedia module, para [0060], ln 6-12), transmitting the generated message to a corresponding appliance (para [0060], ln 6-12/ para [0051], ln 3-7);

control function (volume/tone adjust, on/off, VCR programming, etc, para [0061], ln 5-8), performing a corresponding control function (para [0061], ln 5-8), performing a corresponding control function by analyzing the transmitted file (para [0058], ln 6-10/ para [0060], ln 5-11/para [0056], ln 4-9).

5. Binone does not explicitly teach operating driver program per manufacturer of an appliance corresponding to the control data. However, Mullaly teaches operating driver program per manufacturer of an appliance corresponding to the control data (In analogy to the use of

drivers in a GUI, the target appliances recited herein may have associated drivers to translate the pointer events (i.e., signals derived by the pointer) transmitted by the portable pointing device into events specific to the target appliance (i.e., signals recognized by the target appliance). This driver may be part of an appliance interface associated with each target appliance, col 4, ln 10-16/ appliances, which may perform dissimilar functions and may be produced by different manufacturers, col 2, ln 61-64/ The appliance interface associated with the target appliance may include a receiver, an appliance-side I/O interface, and a driver. A pointer event signal transmitted by the pointing device may be detected (i.e., decoded) by the appliance-side I/O interface. The pointer event signal may be translated to a corresponding appliance function by the driver, col 4, ln 34-40/ the system including pointing device 16 and one or more of appliance interfaces 18, 20 and 22 allows remote communication with one or more of the corresponding addressable appliances 10, 12, and 14. Each of the appliance interfaces is configured specifically for its corresponding appliance, and is operably coupled to this appliance. "Operably coupled" as used herein indicates a coupling in such a way that allows operation of the combination. Appliance interface 18, for example, is coupled to appliance 10, a lamp, such that data including...The appliance interface may be packaged in a separate unit from the appliance, as for interface 18 and appliance 10, or it may be configured upon or within the appliance, as for appliance interfaces 20 and 22 for appliances 12 and 14, respectively, col 7, ln 44-60/ Fig.1).

6. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Binone with Mullaly to incorporate the feature of operating driver program per manufacturer of an appliance corresponding to the control data because this

makes interaction with computer application programs easier and more intuitive and allows multiple appliances to be accessed with a single, relatively simple pointing device.

7. Bione and Mullaly do not teach generating a file depends on a manufacturer of an appliance. However, Hallenbeck teaches generating a file depends on a manufacturer of an appliance (receive a packet that is formatted to direct a change in a state of the output. If the output is connected to premises-based apparatus, such as a heating system, appliance, or security system, the change in state of the output might be effected to communicate with the premises-based apparatus, para [0008], In 1-8/FIG. 7 illustrates the format for packets received by an I/O unit for the purpose of effecting a change in an output in an example embodiment of the invention. The packet has a unique output identifier, 701, that has a specific type. Field 702 contains instructions for the desired change for the output specified by the unit number and output number in field 701, para [0052], In 1-7).

9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify of the teaching of Bione and Mullaly with Hallenbeck to incorporate with the feature of generating a file depends on a manufacturer of an appliance because this allows the inputs and outputs to be sent and received in various formats to communicate with different premises-based apparatus.

10. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bione (US 2003/0056225 A1) in view of Mullaly et al (US 6,570,524 B1) and in view of Hallenbeck(US 2006/0004920 A1), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tsao (US. Patent 7,076,491 B2).

11. As to claim 2, Bione, Mullaly and Hallenbeck do not teach generating the file by the input control data means generating a data array-type of file according to the input control data. However, Tsao teaches generating the file by the input control data means generating a data array-type of file according to the input control data (generating and editing data files, each data files including an array of main data objects that each have unique address ... means for generating includes means for receiving in working memory user input defining the address of a main data object of a particular data file, col 6, ln 42-48).

12. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Bione, Mullaly and Hallenbeck with Tsao to incorporate with the feature of generating the file by the input control data means generating a data array-type of file according to the input control data because this allows the unified user interface to preserve data integrity across plural application types and a variety of operating system with the high productivity and compatible.

13. As to claim 3, Bione teaches transmitting the generated file to a corresponding appliance means transmitting the file to a corresponding appliance by utilizing a standardized factor structure for every command (para [0058], ln 13-15/ para [0056], ln 4-7/para [0023], ln 6-10).

14. As to claim 4, Bione teaches the standardized factor structure comprises current packet number, total number of packets, option, number of data, and data array format (para [0056], ln 3-7/ para [0061], ln 5-9) and Hallenbeck teaches the standardized factor structure comprises current packet(para[0052], ln 1-6).

Art Unit: 2194

15. As to claim 5, Tsao teaches transmitted in a file format to the data array area (col 9, ln 9-12/ col 10, ln 27-30).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

/LeChi Truong/

Examiner, Art Unit 2194

LeChi Truong

May 1, 2008

